IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor:	Jonathan Haines, et al.
Serial No.:	New Filing
Filed:	February 6, 2001
Docket:	STL10033/40046.149-US-U1

POWER OF ATTORNEY BY ASSIGNEE OF ENTIRE INTEREST (REVOCATION OF PRIOR POWERS)

As assignee of record of the entire interest of the above identified application, REVOCATION OF PRIOR POWERS OF ATTORNEY

all powers of attorney previously given are hereby revoked and

NEW POWER OF ATTORNEY

the following practitioners/patent agent are hereby appointed to prosecute and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Edward P. Heller, III, Registration No. 29,075	Shawn B. Dempster, Registration No. 34,321
Raghunath S. Minisandram, Registration No. 38,683	Jonathan E. Olson, Registration No. 41,231
Leland D. Schultz, Registration No. 30,322	Cecilia A. (Peggy) Walsh, Registration No. 45,659
Derek J. Berger, Registration No. 45,401	

And members of the firm of Merchant & Gould P.C

Albrecht, John W.	Reg. No. 40,481	Holzer, Jr., Richard J.	Reg. No. 42,668
Anderson, Gregg I.	Reg. No. 28,828	Johnston, Scott W.	Reg. No. 39,721
Batzlı, Brıan H.	Reg. No. 32,960	Kadievitch, Natalie D.	Reg. No. 34,196
Beard, John L.	Reg. No. 27,612	Karjeker, Shaukat	Reg. No. 34,049
Berns, John M.	Reg. No. 43,496	Kastelic, Joseph M.	Reg. No. 37,160
Black, Bruce E.	Reg. No. 41,622	Kettelberger, Denise	Reg. No. 33,924
Branch, John W.	Reg. No. 41,633	Keys, Jeramie J.	Reg. No. 42,724
Bremer, Dennis C.	Reg. No. 40,528	Knearl, Homer L.	Reg. No. 21,197
Bruess, Steven C.	Reg. No. 34,130	Kowalchyk, Alan W.	Reg. No. 31,535
Byrne, Linda M.	Reg. No. 32,404	Kowalchyk, Katherine M.	Reg. No. 36,848
Carlson, Alan G.	Reg. No. 25,959	Lacy, Paul E.	Reg. No. 38,946
Caspers, Philip P.	Reg. No. 33,227	Larson, James A.	Reg. No. 40,443
Chiapetta, James R.	Reg. No. 39,634	Liepa, Mara E.	Reg. No. 40,066
Clifford, John A.	Reg. No. 30,247	Lindquist, Timothy A.	Reg. No. 40,701
Coldren, Richard J.	Reg. No. 44,084	Lycke, Lawrence E.	Reg. No. 38,540
Daignault, Ronald A.	Reg. No. 25,968	McAuley, Steven A.	Reg. No. 46,084
Daley, Dennis R.	Reg. No. 34,994	McDonald, Daniel W.	Reg. No. 32,044
Dalglish, Leslie E.	Reg. No. 40,579	McIntyre, Jr., William F.	Reg. No. P-44,921
Daulton, Julie R.	Reg. No. 36,414	Mueller, Douglas P.	Reg. No. 30,300
DeVries Smith, Katherine M.	Reg. No. 42,157	Pauly, Daniel M.	Reg. No. 40,123
DıPıetro, Mark J.	Reg. No. 28,707	Phillips, John B.	Reg. No. 37,206
Edell, Robert T.	Reg. No. 20,187	Plunkett, Theodore	Reg. No. 37,209
Epp Ryan, Sandra	Reg. No. 39,667	Prendergast, Paul J.	Reg. No. 46,068
Glance, Robert J.	Reg. No. 40,620	Pytel, Melissa J.	Reg. No. 41,512
Goggin, Matthew J.	Reg. No. 44,125	Qualey, Terry	Reg. No. 25,148
Golla, Charles E.	Reg. No. 26,896	Reich, John C.	Reg. No. 37,703
Gorman, Alan G.	Reg. No. 38,472	Reiland, Earl D.	Reg. No. 25,767
Gould, John D.	Reg. No. 18,223	Schmaltz, David G.	Reg. No. 39,828
Gregson, Richard	Reg. No 41,804	Schuman, Mark D.	Reg. No. 31,197
Gresens, John J.	Reg. No. 33,112	Schumann, Michael D.	Reg. No. 30,422
Hamre, Curtis B.	Reg. No. 29,165	Scull, Timothy B.	Reg. No. 42,137
Hıllson, Randall A.	Reg. No. 31,838	Sebald, Gregory A.	Reg. No. 33,280

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.

United States Patent Application DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, we hereby declare that: our residences, post office addresses and citizenships are as stated below next to our names; that

We verily believe we are the original, first and joint inventors of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled <u>A SYSTEM FOR CHARACTERIZING PERFORMANCE OF DATA HANDLING SYSTEMS UNDER PARTICULAR STIMULI</u>, the specification of which is attached hereto.

We hereby state that we have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

We acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (described hereinbelow).

We hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

134	_
⊸a. ⊠	no such applications have been filed.
ъ. 🗆	such applications have been filed as follows:

FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, CLAIMING PRIORITY UNDER 35 USC § 119			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
	ALL FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY,	FILED BEFORE THE PRIORITY	APPLICATION(S)
COUNTRY	ALL FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, APPLICATION NUMBER	FILED BEFORE THE PRIORITY DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)

We hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120/365 of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, we acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)

We hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (Day, Month, Year)
60/255,357	December 13, 2000

We hereby declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

			T T		
-1	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name		Second Given Name
2	Of Inventor	Haines	Jonathan		Williams
0	Residence	City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship
	& Citizenship	Lafayette	Colorado		United States of America
1	Post Office	Post Office Address	City		State & Zip Code/Country
l	Address	2285 Auturan Ridge Blvd.	Lafayette		Colorado 80026-8834/USA
Signa	ture of Inventor 2	on for the		Date: 2/1	koci
	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name	' '	Second Given Name
2	Of Inventor	Abelein	Nathan		Scott
0	Residence	City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship
	& Citizenship	Berthoud	Colorado		United States of America
2	Post Office	Post Office Address	City		State & Zip Code/Country
	Address	5201 Gary Drive	Berthoud		Colorado 80513/USA
Signa	nture of Inventor 2	02: Nutton Abeli-		Date: 2 / 5/	loi
	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name	,	Second Given Name
2	Of Inventor	Lakey	Jack		William
0	Residence	City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship
1	& Citizenship	Boulder	Colorado	· 	United States of America
3	Post Office	Post Office Address	City		State & Zip Code/Country
	Address	745 Thomas Driye #8	Boulder		Colorado 80303/USA
Sign	ature of Inventor 2	103:		Date: 2/1/	2001
Hand.	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name		Second Given Name
2	Of Inventor	Davenport	Henry		Elwood
0	Residence	City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship
Ę	& Citizenship	Longmont	Colorado		United States of America
4	Post Office	Post Office Address	City		State & Zip Code/Country
	Address	623 Clarendon Drive	Longmont		Colorado 80501/USA
Sign	ature of Inventor 2	None Elual Danespar	d	Date; /	00 /

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

f					
being m	(b) ade of r		this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or the application, and		
		(1)	It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim		
or (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:			It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:		
			(i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or		
			(ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.		
prepond	lerance (ation, ar	of eviden	patentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the ce, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of		
	(c)	Indivi	duals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:		
		(1)	Each inventor named in the application:		
		(2)	Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and		
associat	ted with	(3) the inver	Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is notor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.		
		or inven	duals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the tor.		
The second secon					